









## INTRODUCTION

We quantified household containers that could become Aedes *aegypti* breeding sites, in order to determine "key" container types most responsible for Zika transmission in each community, and to focus vector control actions on a limited number of key container types. We used a dispersion index (DI) to ensure the data we collected on key containers and presence of pupae were statistically significant.

### **METHODS**

- In 2018, a round of pupal surveys was conducted in 42 Guatemalan communities.
- Water containers were inspected for *Aedes* pupae, which were counted when present.
- A total of 679 households (HHs) were visited, 47% of which contained pupae (HH index).
- The dispersion index (DI) was used to quantify the degree of dispersion of pupae between key containers. It is calculated as:

Dispersion Index  $(N_1) = 10^{H'}$ Where Shanon-Wiener index (H') =

 $-\sum p_i \log_{10}(p_i)$ 

Where Pi is the proportion of pupae in the key container class "i", and  $\Sigma$  is the sum over the key container classes/types which contain at least one pupa<sup>1</sup>. DI is larger when pupae are more evenly distributed across key container types<sup>1</sup>. The dispersion index was evaluated on an initial sample of 10 pupae-positive households. Based on the DI, it was then determined if the sample size had to be increased or kept as is. The flow chart below demonstrates how the sample size was determined:



# Use of dispersion index to identify key containers responsible for Aedes aegypti breeding in select communities of Guatemala

### Buekens J<sup>1</sup>, Niemczura de Carvalho J<sup>1</sup>, Perry M<sup>1</sup>, Monzon JE<sup>1</sup>, Sanchez A<sup>1</sup>, Arredondo J<sup>2</sup>, Benavente L<sup>1</sup>

1=Medical Care Development International 2=Independent

### CONTACT: Julie Buekens, jbuekens@mcd.org



Different types of key containers are color-coded in the map to the left. The use of DI allowed for basins and barrels to be identified as key breeding sites for Aedes in 40 out of the 42 communities visited. Small and medium containers kept in the front yards or backyards were identified as key breeding sites in 17 communities. Used tires kept outdoors were identified as key breeding sites in 2 communities.

spersion Index and type of pupae producing containers and key containers (in bold red) according to Pupal Demography Survey in 42 communities of Guatemala		
Communities	Dispersion	Key Containers
oquitas	1.56	<b>sink</b> barrel tires
	1.30	sink, barrel, tites
	1.25	sink, barrel, cistern
	1.47	sink, Darrel, cistern
	1.25	sink, barrel, cistern
	1.37	sink, barrel, cistern
ito de la Cruz	1.16	SINK, barrei, cistern
Labezas	1.89	tires, assorted small containers
o Urbano Oratorio	1.91	sink, barrel, cistern
nito	1.74	sink, barrel, cistern
Esclavos	1.45	sink, barrel, cistern
anito	1.12	sink, barrel, cistern
o Urbano A	1.00	sink, barrel, cistern
o Urbano B	1.13	assorted small containers
a Lucia	1.00	assorted medium containers
tas	1.99	assorted small containers
Juan Maza	1.26	assorted small containers
on Maza	1.95	assorted small containers
ache	1.85	outdoor vegetation
a Larga	1.68	assorted small containers
or A	1.64	assorted small containers
or B	1.00	assorted small containers
or C	1.27	assorted small containers
anantial	1.00	assorted small containers
Isidro Robles	1.33	sink, barrel, cistern, assorted small containers
Isidro centro	1.19	sinks, barrels, cistern, assorted small containers
lite	1.92	assorted small containers
ÍSO	1.84	sink, barrel, cistern, assorted small containers
a los Vados	1.00	tires, assorted small containers
icho Díaz	1.74	barrel
or A	1.23	sink, barrel
or B	1.00	<b>sink</b> , barrel, cistern
or D	1.34	<b>sink</b> , barrel, cistern
nia Guillermo Guerra	1.00	assorted small containers
erío Tierra blanca	1.00	cistern
nia Las Brisas	1.00	cistern
а Рајсо	1.21	sink, barrel
otán área urbana	1.00	sink, barrel
a Caparja	1.00	assorted small containers
a Brasilar	1.00	sink
erío Agua caliente	1.00	assorted small containers

In contrast to other entomological indices, DI was used to effectively determine pupal container productivity and identify key Aedes breeding sites that needed to be targeted and removed.

These data were used to guide the implementation of social and behavior change communication and community mobilization activities to reduce or eliminate key containers, such as mass disposal of used tires in targeted communities.

Research shows that targeting the most productive water containers could be as effective in lowering entomological indices as targeting all water containers regardless of their mosquito productivity<sup>3</sup>. Data-driven vector control activities have the potential to increase cost effectiveness and impact in the reduction of Zika transmission.

- *Health*,14(9):1143-53.

This research was sponsored by the Zika Community Response Project (ZICORE), funded by USAID through Cooperative Agreement AID-OAA-A-16-00076. The contents of this poster are the sole responsibility of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of USAID or the United States Government.



### DISCUSSION



### REFERENCES

. Focks DA, Alexander N (2006). *Multicountry study of* Aedes aegypti pupal productivity survey methodology: findings and recommendations (TDR/IRM/DEN/06.1). Geneva: Special Programme for Research and Training in Tropical Diseases (TDR). 2. Operational guide for assessing the productivity of Aedes aegypti *breeding sites*. WHO. Special Programme for Research and Training in Tropical Diseases (2011).

3. Tun-Lin W et al. (2009). Reducing costs and operational constraints of dengue vector control by targeting productive breeding places: a multi-country non-inferiority cluster randomized trial. *Tropical Medicine and International* 

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

### Use of dispersion index to identify key containers responsible for Aedes aegypti breeding in select communities of Guatemala

Julie Buekens,<sup>1</sup>Luis Benavente<sup>1</sup>, Julie Niemczura de Carvalho<sup>1</sup>, Megan Perry<sup>1</sup>, Jose Ernesto Monzon<sup>1</sup>, Arturo Sanchez<sup>1</sup>, Juan Arredondo<sup>2</sup>

### 1=Medical Care Development International 2=Independent

As part of the Zika Community Response (ZICORE) project in Guatemala, we quantified household containers that could become Aedes aegypti breeding sites in order to gather entomological data to target Zika prevention and control interventions. As the water supply fluctuates, households store water and often have non-useful containers outside where rain water also accumulates. In 2017, a round of pupal surveys was conducted in 32 Guatemalan communities. Water containers were inspected for Aedes larvae or pupae, which were counted when present. A dispersion index (DI) was used to validate the sample size of water containers and to determine if the sample size needed adjustment. A total of 821 households (HHs) were visited, 40% of which contained pupae (HH index). Use of DI allowed for basins and barrels to be identified as key breeding sites for Aedes in 18 out of the 32 communities visited. Small and medium containers kept in the front yards or backyards were identified as key breeding sites in 8 communities. Used tires kept outdoors were identified as key breeding sites in 3 communities. These data were used to guide the implementation of social and behavior change communication and community mobilization activities to reduce or eliminate key containers, such as mass disposal of used tires in targeted communities. In contrast to other indices, DI was used to effectively determine container productivity and identify key Aedes breeding sites that needed to be targeted and removed. Such data-driven vector control activities have the potential to increase cost effectiveness and impact in the reduction of Zika transmission.